

**Protocol:** Vegetation Complexes

**Parks Where Protocol will be Implemented:** ALAG, ANIA, KATM, KEFJ, LACL

**Vital Signs Addressed:** Vegetation Composition and Structure, Land Cover/Land Use, Sensitive Vegetation Communities

**Justification/Issues being addressed:** Vegetation is integral to ecosystem function, energy transfer, and element cycling, and has the potential to both impact and respond to environmental drivers (Bennett et al. 2004). Enabling legislation for the Southwest Alaska Network (SWAN) parks includes provisions for the preservation of arctic tundra, boreal forest, and coastal rainforest, among other vegetation types. Global and regional drivers expected to impact these ecosystems include increasing climatic variability, changing atmospheric chemistry and pollutant loads, and increasing variability in pathogens and pests in the forested sites.

Human-induced changes in biological diversity and modification of ecosystem processes, including primary productivity and element cycling, are two of the most pronounced ecological trends of the last century (Vitousek et al. 1997). Human activities, including motorized and non-motorized access, resource development, subsistence activities, and activities associated with private in-holdings and/or adjacent lands, have the potential to cause rapid and long-lasting changes to ecosystems of the Southwest Alaska Network (Bennett et al. 2004). Model simulations and empirical data indicate that a combination of land use change and climatic variation could have profound impacts on subarctic vegetation (e.g., Rupp et al. 2000, Jorgenson et al. 2001), both through vegetation loss and changes in species composition.

Changes in species composition and structure, particularly age- and size-class structure, may have important intrinsic effects at the ecosystem level (Nichols et al. 1998), and may impact habitat connectivity (Lindborg and Eriksson 2004) and landscape-scale patterns of species richness or endemism (e.g., Sabo et al. 2005). High latitude plant communities are expected to be particularly sensitive to increased climatic variation (e.g., Spicer and Chapman 1990, Epstein et al. 2004) and physical disturbance (e.g., Auerbach et al. 1997). As such, they may serve as early indicators of environmental change on the landscape (Bennett et al. 2004). Vegetation Composition and Structure focuses on changes in vegetation in response to environmental drivers, whereas Land Cover/Land Use focuses on responses associated with human activity, e.g., vegetation loss. Sensitive Vegetation Communities highlight change in ecosystems that are strongly controlled by physical factors (e.g., hydrology, thermal regime) or that may at the edge of their environmental tolerance (Spicer and Chapman 1990, Lesica and McCune 2004, Epstein et al. 2004).

### **Specific Monitoring Questions and Objectives to be Addressed by the Protocol:**

#### Vegetation Composition and Structure

##### *Questions:*

- Are the distribution and abundance of major land cover classes (incorporating vegetation composition and structure) changing through time in the SWAN landscape?
- Are species composition, vegetation structure (physiognomy), and woody species regeneration changing through time in focal ecosystems in the SWAN parks?

*Objectives:*

- Map long-term, landscape-scale changes in the distribution and extent of major land cover classes in the SWAN using satellite imagery and/or aerial photographs.
- Quantify long-term changes in the extent of land cover classes in the SWAN.
- Quantify long-term changes in the distribution of land cover classes in the SWAN.
- Estimate long-term changes in species richness, cover and diversity in focal ecosystems in KATM, KEFJ, and LACL.
- Where applicable, estimate long-term changes in the density of seedlings, saplings, and mature trees and/or shrubs at these sites.

Land Cover/Land Use*Questions:*

- Is vegetation loss occurring in SWAN parks?
- Are patterns of land use changing in and adjacent to SWAN parks?

*Objectives:*

- Map long-term, landscape-scale changes in vegetation to identify areas where vegetation loss is occurring due to human activities in and adjacent to the SWAN.
- Document changes in land-use patterns in and adjacent to SWAN parks.

Sensitive vegetation communities*Question:*

- Is species composition changing through time in focal ecosystems in the SWAN parks?

*Objectives:*

- Estimate long-term changes in species richness, cover and diversity in focal ecosystems in KATM, KEFJ, and LACL.
- Where applicable, estimate long-term changes in the density of seedlings, saplings, and mature trees and/or shrubs at these sites.

**Basic Approach:**Vegetation Composition and Structure

We will monitor vegetation composition and structure both at the landscape scale, using aerial photographs and/or remotely sensed data (e.g., Sturm et al. 2001, Zhou et al. 2001, Stow et al. 2004), and at the ecosystem scale, using targeted, ground-based sampling. Monitoring will employ the following tools:

(i) Landscape scale: Multispectral satellite imagery

Satellite imagery and aerial photos will be acquired at 10-year intervals for retrospective and future analyses of landscape-scale vegetation change (ANIA, KATM, KEFJ, LACL). Landsat MSS, TM, and ETM+ imagery are available for southwest Alaska between 1972-1977 (80 m) and 1990-2004 (30 m), and satellite coverage is expected to resume in the state by 2009. We will explore the use of widely applied change detection algorithms (e.g., univariate image differencing, change vector analysis) to identify areas of change in paired sets of images. These

techniques involve the subtraction of one set of georectified spectral data from another and avoid the issue of consistency inherent in post-classification comparisons (Coppin et al. 2004). Field validation and high-resolution imagery (e.g., IKONOS) and aerial photos will be critical to interpretation of summer scenes, as will ongoing land cover classifications supported by the NPS-USGS Interagency Vegetation Mapping/Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics Program. Rectified satellite image data and derivative products will be archived in the SWAN databases or served through the USGS in the Alaska Geographic Data Clearinghouse (AGDC). Interpretations will be stored as GIS (.shp) or flat file data, as appropriate.

(ii) Ecosystem scale: Ground-based sampling

Ground-based sampling required for the detection of more subtle, ecosystem-level change will be initiated at 2-3 index sites in each of three parks (KEFJ, KATM, LACL). These sites will target the dominant ecosystems in the parks, including open spruce woodland and low shrub tundra (3%-15% of land cover in KATM and LACL, respectively), and spruce-hemlock forest (8% of cover in KEFJ). Where possible, index sites will be collocated with instrumented weather stations and/or hydrological monitoring stations. Species cover and frequency will be measured at index sites every year for 5 years to develop estimates of interannual variability (cf. Lesica and Steele 1996), and at 3 year intervals thereafter using a rotating panel design.

In addition to the intensively sampled index sites, an extensive array of sites will be selected using a spatially balanced probabilistic sampling framework (e.g., GRTS design), weighted by site accessibility and landscape attributes (e.g., elevation, aspect classes). The sampling design is based on methods developed by the North Coast and Cascades Network (NCCN) (<http://science.nature.nps.gov/im/units/nccn/reportpubs.cfm>). Extensive sites are visited in the order that they are assigned and will be sampled if they meet criteria for the target environments. Revisit interval will be less frequent, but will expand the area of inference from index sites. Plot design follows a modification plots used by the Bonanza Creek Long Term Ecological Research site ([http://www.lter.uaf.edu/bcef/exp\\_design.cfm](http://www.lter.uaf.edu/bcef/exp_design.cfm)) and NCCN, with plot attributes used by the Central Alaska Network (CAKN) (<http://science.nature.nps.gov/im/units/cakn/reportpubs.cfm>).

Land Cover/Land Use

Methods used to detect change in Land Cover/Land Use will be the same as those used for Vegetation Composition and Structure ((i) Multispectral satellite imagery), but will focus on vegetation loss due to human activities rather than more subtle, environmentally-induced vegetation change.

Sensitive Vegetation Communities

The monitoring approach for Sensitive Vegetation Communities will be similar to that for Vegetation Composition and Structure ((ii) Ground-based sampling), although sites will be subjectively chosen to target communities of interest (e.g., high alpine, salt marsh). The sample size required for an estimate of a single population mean (90% confidence interval) will be calculated from randomly placed quadrats in the field (cf. Elzinga et al. 1998). Plots will be sampled every 5 years for the first 10 years to determine short-term species-level variation (cf. Lesica and Steele 1996), and every 10 years thereafter. A separate protocol is being developed for salt marshes in order to incorporate environmental variables unique to those systems.

**Principal Investigators and NPS Leads:**

Vegetation Composition and Structure(i) Multispectral satellite imagery

- Warren Cohen, USFS-PNW, Corvallis, OR (PI)
- Robert Kennedy, USFS-PNW, Corvallis, OR (Co-PI)
- Amy Miller, NPS-SWAN (NPS Lead)
- Page Spencer, NPS-AKRO

(ii) Ground-based sampling

- Amy Miller, NPS-SWAN (NPS Lead)

Land Cover/Land Use ChangeMultispectral satellite imagery

- Warren Cohen, USFS-PNW, Corvallis, OR (PI)
- Robert Kennedy, USFS-PNW, Corvallis, OR (Co-PI)
- Amy Miller, NPS-SWAN (NPS Lead)
- Page Spencer, NPS-AKRO

Sensitive Vegetation CommunitiesGround-based sampling

- Amy Miller, NPS-SWAN (NPS Lead)
- Page Spencer, NPS-AKRO

**Development Schedule, Budget, and Expected Interim Products:**Vegetation Composition and Structure(i) Multispectral satellite imagery

2006 Develop draft protocol for change detection (\$85,000)

2007 Protocol revision (\$76,000)

2008 Image interpretation (\$20,000)

(ii) Air photo interpretation

2008 Orthorectification of photo series for KEFJ, ANIA (\$37,000)

2009 Image interpretation (\$20,000)

(iii) Ground-based sampling

2007 Develop draft protocol for ground-based monitoring (\$15,000)

2008 Protocol revision, continued field-testing (\$53,000)

2009 Database development (\$20,000)

2009 Implementation (\$15,000-\$60,000)

Land Cover/Land Use

Same as for (i) Multispectral satellite imagery, above. Costs are included in those for Vegetation Composition and Structure.

2006 Develop draft protocol for change detection

2007 Protocol revision

2008 Image interpretation

#### Sensitive Vegetation Communities

Same as for (ii) Ground-based sampling, above. Costs for protocol development and testing are included in those for Vegetation Composition and Structure. Costs for implementation will vary with number and location of sites visited.

2007 Develop draft protocol for ground-based monitoring

2008 Protocol revision, continue field-testing

2009 Implementation

#### **Literature Cited:**

- Auerbach, N.A., Walker, M.D., and D.A. Walker. 1997. Effects of roadside disturbance on substrate and vegetation properties in Arctic tundra. *Ecological Applications* 7:218-235.
- Bennett, A.J., Oakley, K.L., and D.C. Mortenson. 2004. Phase II Vital Signs Monitoring Report, Southwest Alaska Network. Unpublished report, National Park Service, Anchorage, AK, September 2004. 119 pp.
- Coppin, P., Jonckheere, I., Nackaerts, K., Muys, B., and E. Lambin. 2004. Digital change reduction methods in ecosystem monitoring: a review. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 25:1565-1596.
- Debacker, M.D., Sasseen, A.N., Becker, C., Rowell, G.A., Thomas, L.P., Boetsch, J.R., Willson, G.D. 2004. Vegetation community monitoring protocol for the Heartland I&M Network and Prairie Cluster Prototype Monitoring Program. Unpublished report, National Park Service, Republic, MO, December 2004. 55 pp.
- Elzinga, C.L., Salzer, D.W., and J.W. Willoughby. 1998. Measuring and monitoring plant populations. BLM Technical Reference 1730-1, 477 pp.
- Epstein, H.E., Calef, M.P., Walker, M.D., Chapin, F.S., III, and A.M. Starfield. 2004. Detecting changes in Arctic tundra plant communities in response to warming over decadal time scales. *Global Change Biology* 10:1325-1334.
- Jorgenson, M.T., Racine, C.H., Walters, J.C., and T.E. Osterkamp. 2001. Permafrost degradation and ecological changes associated with a warming climate in Central Alaska. *Climatic Change* 48:551-579.
- Lesica, P., and B. McCune. 2004. Decline of arctic-alpine plants at the southern margin of their range following a decade of climatic warming. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15:679-690.
- Lindborg, R., and O. Eriksson. 2004. Historical landscape connectivity affects present plant species diversity. *Ecology* 85:1840-1845.
- Rupp, T.S., Chapin, F.S., III, and A.M. Starfield. 2000. Response of subarctic vegetation to transient climatic change on the Seward Peninsula in Northwest Alaska. *Global Change Biology* 6:541-555.
- Sabo, J.L., Sponseller, R., Dixon, M., Gade, K., Harms, T., Heffernan, J., Jani, A., Katz, G., Soykan, C., Watts, J., and J. Welter. 2005. Riparian zones increase regional species richness by harboring different, not more, species. *Ecology* 86:56-62.

- Silapaswan, C.S., Verbyla, D.L., and A.D. McGuire. 2001. Land cover change on the Seward Peninsula: the use of remote sensing to evaluate the potential influences of climate warming on historical vegetation dynamics. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 27:542-554.
- Spicer, R.A., and J.L. Chapman. 1990. Climate change and the evolution of high-latitude terrestrial vegetation and floras. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 5:279-284.
- Stow, D.A., Hope, A., McGuire, D., Verbyla, D., Gamon, J., Huemmrich, F., Houston, S., Racine, C., Sturm, M., Tape, K., Hinzman, L., Yoshikawa, K., Tweedie, C., Noyle, B., Silapaswan, C., Douglas, D., Griffith, B., Jia, G., Epstein, H., Walker, D., Daeschner, S., Peterson, A., Zhou, L., and R. Myneni. 2004. Remote sensing of vegetation and land-cover change in Arctic Tundra Ecosystems. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 89:281-308.
- Sturm, M., Racine, C., and K. Tape. 2001. Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic. *Nature* 411:1251-1256.
- Vitousek, P.M., Mooney, H.A., Lubchenco, J., and J.M. Melillo. 1997. Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science* 277:494-499.
- Zhou, L., Tucker, C.J., Kaufmann, R.K., Slayback, D., Shabanov, N.V., and R.B. Myneni. 2001. Variation in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981 to 1999. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106:20069-20083.